## **RE: possible complaints from Richard Tol**

Langham, Robert [Robert.Langham@tandf.co.uk]

Sent:Wednesday, October 24, 2012 6:31 AM

- To: Frank Ackerman
- **Cc:** Holt, Simon [Simon.Holt@tandf.co.uk]; lucy@iuscivile.com; Liz Stanton; Pether, Stewart [Stewart.Pether@informa.com]

The original emails are below. Richard's response to the manuscript came within minutes of my sending it to him so he clearly didn't bother to read it properly. Regards, Rob

16-10-12

Dear Robert,

I understand that Frank Ackerman and Elizabeth Stanton are about to publish a book with Routledge under the title: Climate Economics: The State of the Art.

Ackerman has developed a habit of libeling me. See attached. I would therefore appreciate it if you could send me the latest draft so that we can preempt this.

Thanks Richard Dr Richard S.J. Tol MEA Voice +44 1273 877282 Skype richardsjtol Email <u>r.tol@sussex.ac.uk</u>

From: Richard Tol [mailto:R.Tol@sussex.ac.uk]
Sent: 16 October 2012 11:23
To: Langham, Robert
Subject: RE: Ackerman & Stanton book

Thanks Robert

Not surprised but disappointed nonetheless.

The following sentences are incorrect and damaging to my reputation. As you can see from the attached, the authors know these sentences are false.

Chapter 3

"That analysis found that FUND's agriculture calculations are calibrated to research published in 1992-96, a time when the understanding of climate and agriculture was primitive by today's standards"

"the agriculture calculations in FUND 3.5 and earlier versions contain a mathematical error that could cause division by zero for a relatively likely value of one of the Monte Carlo variables"

Chapter 5

"an area where FUND's calculations are benchmarked to studies from the mid-1990s"

These sentences can be dropped without changing the flow of the text.

Best Richard Dr Richard S.J. Tol MEA Voice +44 1273 877282 Skype richardsjtol Email <u>r.tol@sussex.ac.uk</u>